

# Public Document Pack



**Service Director – Legal, Governance and  
Commissioning**

**Samantha Lawton**

Governance and Commissioning

PO Box 1720

Huddersfield

HD1 9EL

**Tel:** 01484 221000

Please ask for: Jodie Harris

Email: [jodie.harris@kirklees.gov.uk](mailto:jodie.harris@kirklees.gov.uk)

Monday 9 March 2026

## Notice of Meeting

Dear Member

### **Environment and Climate Change Scrutiny Panel**

The **Environment and Climate Change Scrutiny Panel** will meet in the **Council Chamber - Town Hall, Huddersfield** at **2.00 pm** on **Tuesday 17 March 2026**.

This meeting will be live webcast. To access the webcast please go to the Council's website at the time of the meeting and follow the instructions on the page.

The items which will be discussed are described in the agenda and there are reports attached which give more details.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "S Lawton".

**Samantha Lawton**  
**Service Director – Legal, Governance and Commissioning**

Kirklees Council advocates openness and transparency as part of its democratic processes. Anyone wishing to record (film or audio) the public parts of the meeting should inform the Chair/Clerk of their intentions prior to the meeting.

## **The Panel members are:-**

### **Member**

Councillor Andrew Cooper (Chair)

Councillor Musarrat Khan

Councillor David Longstaff

Councillor Matthew McLoughlin

Councillor Will Simpson

Councillor John Taylor

Kevin Evans (Co-Optee)

# Agenda

## Reports or Explanatory Notes Attached

---

**Pages**

**1: Membership of the Panel**

To receive apologies for absence from those Members who are unable to attend the meeting.

---

**2: Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

1 - 8

To approve the Minutes of the meeting of the Panel held on the 11<sup>th</sup> February 2026

---

**3: Declaration of Interests**

9 - 10

Members will be asked to say if there are any items on the Agenda in which they have any disclosable pecuniary interests or any other interests, which may prevent them from participating in any discussion of the items or participating in any vote upon the items.

---

**4: Admission of the Public**

Most agenda items take place in public. This only changes where there is a need to consider exempt information, as contained at Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972. You will be informed at this point which items are to be recommended for exclusion and to be resolved by the Panel.

---

**5: Deputations/Petitions**

The Panel will receive any petitions and/or deputations from members of the public. A deputation is where up to five people can attend the meeting and make a presentation on some particular issue of concern. A member of the public can also submit a petition at the meeting relating to a matter on which the body has powers and responsibilities.

In accordance with Council Procedure Rule 10, Members of the

Public must submit a deputation in writing, at least three clear working days in advance of the meeting and shall subsequently be notified if the deputation shall be heard. A maximum of four deputations shall be heard at any one meeting.

---

**6: Moving Traffic Enforcement**

11 - 18

The Panel will consider a presentation on Moving Traffic Enforcement (MTE).

Contact:

Rory Davies , Transport Strategy and Policy Lead

---

**7: Work Programme 2025/26**

19 - 24

The Panel will consider the Work Programme 2025/26 and any items for inclusion in next years programme.

Contact:

Jodie Harris, Principal Governance and Democratic Engagement Officer

---

# Public Document Pack Agenda Item 2

Contact Officer: Jodie Harris

## KIRKLEES COUNCIL

### ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE SCRUTINY PANEL

**Wednesday 11th February 2026**

Present: Councillor Andrew Cooper (Chair)  
Councillor Musarrat Khan  
Councillor David Longstaff  
Councillor Matthew McLoughlin  
Councillor John Taylor

In attendance: Joe Robertson, Woodland Development Manager  
Illyas Ramjan, Head of Major Projects  
Paul Thompson, Programme Manager (White Rose Forest)  
Paul Farndale, Strategic Partnerships Lead  
Jason Hanks, ER Project Officer  
Martin Stepherson, Principal Flood Risk Planner

Apologies: Councillor Will Simpson

**36 Membership of the Panel**

Apologies for absence were received on behalf of Councillor Will Simpson

**37 Minutes of the Previous Meeting**

The Panel considered the Minutes of the meeting of the Panel held on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2026.

**RESOLVED:** That the Minutes of the meeting held on 7<sup>th</sup> January 2026

**38 Declaration of Interests**

Councillor David Longstaff declared a 'other' interest in the agenda item as a resident of a flood zone (Minute No. 34 refers).

**39 Admission of the Public**

All agenda items were considered in public session.

**40 Deputations/Petitions**

No deputations or petitions were received.

**41 Public Question Time**

No public questions were received.

**42 Annual Review of Flood Risk Management Activities**

The Panel considered the report 'Annual Review of Flood Risk Management Activities' which was presented by Paul Farndale, Strategic Partnerships Lead. Illyas Ramjan, Head of Major Projects, Martin Stepherson, Principal Flood Risk Planner and Jason Hanks, ER Project officer were also in attendance. It was highlighted that:

- The annual update covered flood risk avoidance, asset management, natural flood management and community resilience and outlined progress on the Strategic Flood Risk Assessment and how the approach linked to the Local Plan.
- Moving in the direction of integrated flood management was bringing changes for the authority.
- Trash Screens – Operational Issues and Improvements:
  - Trash screens were required to stop large objects getting lodged, and prevented risk from flooding, however, poorly maintained trash screens collected debris and posed a flood risk themselves.
  - The approach to maintenance of the Trash Screens utilised the principals of asset management to review trash screens based on the risk of blockages by location.
  - This involved balancing risk against budget and operational requirements.
  - It was noted that privately owned trash screens, particularly in new developments, could be neglected and created additional burdens.
  - Work was being undertaken to use section 106 agreements so that management companies were responsible for the maintenance.
  - Confirmation had been received that a funding bid for improving and redesigning trash screens had been passed to a regional stage.
  - Proposed upgrades addressed access issues and revised bar spacing, supported by a Grant in Aid bid of up to £670k alongside Council-funded schemes.
  - Future considerations included reassessing clearance frequency, exploring remote observation, reviewing whether to deliberately retain water in some circumstances, and integrating OSAMS (the new asset management system in Highways).
- Natural Flood Management (NFM) Activities:
  - NFM work including design and landowner engagement for schemes such as Ludhill Dike and various catchment clusters was ongoing.
  - Interventions under consideration included tree planting, hedgerows, attenuation basins, leaky dams and fascines.

## Environment and Climate Change Scrutiny Panel - 11 February 2026

- Progress continued on Council-owned land, including works at Woods Mount, Mellor Woods and Rectory Park.
  - Partnership work progressed to secure further funding for several “spade-ready” schemes within the Honley area.
- Community Resilience and Mental Health:
  - The West Yorkshire Flood Innovation Programme’s work to improve mental health and wellbeing in flood-risk communities continued.
  - National evidence highlighted significantly higher rates of mental health issues among residents affected by flooding.
  - The programme aimed to strengthen resilience through improved mental health support and targeted interventions.
- Progress Since Previous Year:
  - Funding had been secured and initial scoping work had taken place to understand current conditions within flood-risk communities.
  - Partnership development work had continued, and Mental Health First Aid Training had begun.
- Mental Health First Aid Training:
  - A training programme had been developed in partnership with Emergency Planning and the Severe Weather Plan.
  - 100 frontline staff had been trained, improving awareness and daily wellbeing support for communities and staff.
  - ‘Green prescribing’ was a particularly helpful technique, and this could have dual -benefits of supporting tree planting.
- Academic Research Partnership:
  - A formal research partnership with the University of Huddersfield had been established to support research design, data analysis and evidence development.
  - A large-scale online survey was underway alongside 50 planned in-depth interviews with residents who had experienced flooding.
  - Early research findings indicated that residents experienced chronic anxiety and trauma linked to rain and repeated flooding, with long-term financial strain and ongoing disruption deepening emotional distress. Participants reported that preparedness had become an exhausting, largely self-funded burden, while perceived gaps in services and weak infrastructure heightened stress and frustration. Community support, however, emerged as a crucial emotional buffer, with informal networks offering reassurance and understanding.
- Planned Outputs:
  - The planned production of a concise report summarising the needs assessment and workshop findings.
  - A policy briefing and good-practice guide were to be developed.
  - Work was underway to establish an academic–policy network on climate-related mental health and resilience and develop a future funding bid.

The Panel noted the presentation and, during the subsequent discussion, raised the following questions and points.

- The Panel welcomed the mental health work and noted that colleagues had highlighted the mental health training as very positive. Congratulations were expressed for this progress.

## Environment and Climate Change Scrutiny Panel - 11 February 2026

- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the responsibilities of the Environment Agency, Yorkshire Water and Kirklees Council, it was advised that the Environment Agency acted as the enforcement body for main rivers due to their cross-district nature, while Kirklees was responsible for smaller watercourses and for managing surface water flood risk under legislation. Yorkshire Water were described as asset managers rather than flood-risk managers. Officers noted strong working relationships with the Environment Agency, including through joint bid work. Opportunity mapping and bringing together expertise were identified as key elements of the future approach.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the long-term effectiveness of attenuation systems on new developments, officers expressed confidence that adoption and management processes would address end-of-life risks, noting that the intention was to avoid households having to manage these systems themselves. Developers were required to design attenuation tanks appropriately, and once adopted, had statutory responsibilities to maintain them. Challenges included the possibility of developers ceasing to trade; therefore, Section 106 agreements were being developed on major sites to include provisions for management companies to ensure a fallback mechanism that protected residents.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to measuring the success of the mental health work, it was explained that the project was in its initial research phase. At this stage, success was measured through completion of questionnaires and interviews, with 12 out of 30 interviews completed and 300 out of 500 questionnaires returned. The priority was collecting sufficient data to enable meaningful change. Success in the second stage would involve assessing whether the information gathered was adequate to deliver an effective intervention, with findings informing an initial funding bid. The final phase would evaluate whether the project achieved its intended impact, which might evolve from initial aspirations. Measures would include wellbeing indicators and service uptake. Huddersfield University intended to continue supporting research during delivery and evaluation phases.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to operational collaboration with Yorkshire Water, officers confirmed that issues were raised through normal reporting processes and discussed at monthly meetings to ensure progress.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to partnership working with the National Trust, officers explained that this differed from working with delivery partners and linked to opportunity mapping to ensure that all parties were aware of ongoing work across the landscape.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the Mirfield scheme, it was advised that cuts had resulted from a shift in Grant-in-Aid funding priorities towards maintaining existing defence assets. It was noted that Grant-in-Aid funding was shifting towards developing rolling projects focused on slowing the flow in upland areas, though this represented decades of work.

### **RESOLVED:**

The Panel noted the report and expressed appreciation for the focus on identifying opportunities alongside a traditional risk-based methodology.

**43 White Rose Forest Delivery and Kirklees Woodland Creation Update**

The Panel considered presentations in respect of Woodland Creation which were presented by Paul Thompson, Programme Manager (White Rose Forest) and Joe Robertson, Woodland Development Manager. The Panel were advised that:

White Rose Forest – Programme Delivery and Context

- Monitoring of delivery figures for the 2020–2028 programme had demonstrated consistent annual planting contributions.
- Benefits were reported across accessible woodland provision, carbon capture and flood mitigation.
- Financial valuations of these benefits had been provided both for the wider White Rose Forest area and specifically for Kirklees.
- Total programme investments and expenditure distributions across outturn grants, asset development, legacy team costs and revenue/capital allocations had been presented.
- The expected TfC allocations for 2026/27 to 2029/30 had been outlined, including reduced revenue availability.
- increased capital funding would require a capitalisation approach to sustain team costs.
- WRF were working with the Woodland Trust and National Trust and awaited a new grant funding agreement in March/April 2026.
- The 2025–2050 White Rose Forest Strategic Plan had been shared, outlining long-term woodland creation ambitions.
- The programme was currently mainly funded by DEFRA but work was being undertaken to diversify funding streams.
- A new programme, RESTORE, had been proposed to bring more woodlands into long-term management, although funding was not yet in place.
- It was noted that TfC funding would reduce team capacity for the next 15–20 years.
- Key outcomes included;
  - The success of the Trees for Climate programme and the establishment of a stronger national profile for WRF.
  - Benefits to communities across Kirklees and wider Yorkshire had been emphasised.
  - Work was underway to broaden funding sources and maintain a sustainable partnership model.

Kirklees Woodland Creation Programme 2021–2026

- A review of the 2021–2025 planting seasons including Volunteer engagement figures, including numbers of volunteers, schools (including Huddersfield University), scouts, community groups and public sessions, had been presented for the 2023/24 and 2024/25 seasons.
- 2025–2026 planting season (in progress)
  - Data up to January 2026 showed continued strong volunteer participation, particularly from schools, scout groups and community groups.

## Environment and Climate Change Scrutiny Panel - 11 February 2026

- Public sessions and delivery partner engagement remained consistent with previous years.
- Aftercare approach
  - All woodland creation sites were funded for 15 years of aftercare.
  - This included alternating annual condition checks, restocking activities and planned thinning between years 10–15, subject to growth conditions.
  - Work was underway to expand volunteer involvement in aftercare activities.

The Panel noted the presentation and, during the subsequent discussion, raised the following questions and points.

- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to failure rates, it was explained that different sites experienced varying levels of tree loss. They reported that the programme worked on the basis that 10–20% losses were considered acceptable and expected, but restocking continued up to year five when tree guards were removed. Officers confirmed that reasons for failure were assessed on a site-by-site basis.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the aftercare period, officers confirmed that a 15-year aftercare programme was in place and that the Council was working with the White Rose Forest to further develop a woodland maintenance programme thereafter.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the use of different types of tree guards, it was advised that sheep-sized guards were used rather than deer guards. They highlighted that the guards functioned not only as protection but also as shelters to support biodiversity. Deer guards were significantly more expensive and had a high failure rate due to blowing over, and so their use was balanced against deer-grazing risk and monitored regularly. The use of wool and wood-resin guards was also highlighted, which were more costly but avoided plastic waste.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to asset protection and vandalism risks, officers acknowledged that vandalism was difficult to manage but confirmed their commitment to improving prevention measures. They emphasised that long-term community involvement could help address these challenges and that the team continued to learn and take a balanced approach.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the Local Plan and pressures on land, officers confirmed that they were working closely alongside colleagues developing the Local Plan and within the wider assets service. They added that work was also linked to ongoing conversations relating to ward reviews and asset ownership. Officers noted that there were limitations in determining priorities until the Local Plan process had concluded.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the use of Section 106 agreements to support small-scale woodland creation, it was advised that landscaping conditions, including requirements around canopy cover, were being incorporated where appropriate. It was further explained that landscape architects were aware of these priorities and that these considerations were being embedded in Local Plan policy development. Officers also referenced the White Rose Forest ‘Green Streets’ programme,

## Environment and Climate Change Scrutiny Panel - 11 February 2026

which brought together Highways and Planning colleagues to align shared objectives, supported by strategic principles for decision-making.

- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to the use of Council volunteer days for tree planting, officers confirmed that staff volunteer days could be used for this purpose. They reported that opportunities were regularly promoted through intranet bulletins and that some teams used planting days as team-building activities. However, they noted that participation had declined despite ongoing promotion.
- In response to questions from the Panel in relation to community views towards tree planting, officers stated that objections typically came from a small number of individuals, often one or two per scheme, whereas broader resistance tended to appear only around larger-scale proposals. Officers emphasised the importance of acknowledging both support and objections when engaging with communities.

The Panel noted the presentations, and it was recommended that;

1. Conversations continue around the use of wording in section 106 agreements to support small woodland creation where possible.

### **44 Work Programme 2025/2026**

The Panel reviewed its Work Programme for 2025/26 and the following items were put forward for inclusion.

**RESOLVED:** The Panel noted the Work programme.

This page is intentionally left blank

**KIRKLEES COUNCIL**

**COUNCIL/CABINET/COMMITTEE MEETINGS ETC**

**DECLARATION OF INTERESTS**

Environment & Climate Change Scrutiny Panel

Name of Councillor

Item in which you have an interest	Type of interest (eg a disclosable pecuniary interest or an "Other Interest")	Does the nature of the interest require you to withdraw from the meeting while the item in which you have an interest is under consideration? [Y/N]	Brief description of your interest

Signed: .....

Dated: .....

## NOTES

### Disclosable Pecuniary Interests

If you have any of the following pecuniary interests, they are your disclosable pecuniary interests under the new national rules. Any reference to spouse or civil partner includes any person with whom you are living as husband or wife, or as if they were your civil partner.

Any employment, office, trade, profession or vocation carried on for profit or gain, which you, or your spouse or civil partner, undertakes.

Any payment or provision of any other financial benefit (other than from your council or authority) made or provided within the relevant period in respect of any expenses incurred by you in carrying out duties as a member, or towards your election expenses.

Any contract which is made between you, or your spouse or your civil partner (or a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest) and your council or authority -

- under which goods or services are to be provided or works are to be executed; and
- which has not been fully discharged.

Any beneficial interest in land which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, have and which is within the area of your council or authority.

Any licence (alone or jointly with others) which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, holds to occupy land in the area of your council or authority for a month or longer.

Any tenancy where (to your knowledge) - the landlord is your council or authority; and the tenant is a body in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest.

Any beneficial interest which you, or your spouse or your civil partner has in securities of a body where -

(a) that body (to your knowledge) has a place of business or land in the area of your council or authority; and

(b) either -

the total nominal value of the securities exceeds £25,000 or one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that body; or

if the share capital of that body is of more than one class, the total nominal value of the shares of any one class in which you, or your spouse or your civil partner, has a beneficial interest exceeds one hundredth of the total issued share capital of that class.

# Moving Traffic Enforcement (MTE)

Scrutiny 17/03/2026



# Background

- Historically enforcement of movements at banned turns, one-way streets, yellow box junctions, and HGV access limits using Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) cameras, was a matter for police. Since 2022, Local Authorities in England can apply to the Department for Transport (DfT) for trial powers to enforce.
- Legislation requires ring-fencing of revenue generated for enforcement and projects relating specifically to highways, transport or the environment.
- Leeds and Bradford have MTE powers. Calderdale expected in 2026.

## Timeline

- **May-July 2025:** 6-week consultation on enforcement of MTE at trial sites and audit of site suitability, including FAQs.
- **Summer 2025:** Kirklees Council application to DfT for trial powers at three sites.
- **October 2025:** Approval (by DfT) granted to enforce at trial sites.



# Background



Armitage Rd,  
Armitage Bridge



Heckmondwike Bus  
Station

Images from Google



Barnsley Road, Flockton

# January 2026 Cabinet Approval

At January 2026 Cabinet, Cabinet:

- approved the use of MTE at trial sites using ANPR, subject to funding.
- delegated authority to begin enforcement to Exec Directors/Directors
- delegated authority to Exec Directors/Directors in consultation with Portfolio Holder, introduction, implementation and enforcement of MTE: continuation of powers following the trial, discretion over; future site selection, review periods, camera relocation, cost, procurement of equipment, key decisions involving ticketing and disputes, entering into funding agreements and spending of surplus penalty revenue, in line with strict DfT mandate on appropriate use of surplus.



# January 2026 Cabinet Approval

## Approach towards enforcement at future sites:

- **Requirements:** 6-week public consultation, TRO status, signage, layout and contravention counts.
- **Process:** Periodic review by Highways Road Safety and Transport Strategy & Policy, of issues raised (by public, members or other projects/consultations) and following criteria; scale of the issue (counts), level of harm, TRO robustness, appropriateness of MTE to resolve issues, financial viability.



# January 2026 Cabinet Approval

## Current Uses for Moving Traffic Enforcement – as shown within Cabinet Approval Appendix

Description	Sign	Description	Sign
Vehicular traffic must proceed in the direction indicated by the arrow.		Motor vehicles prohibited.	
Vehicular traffic must turn ahead in the direction indicated by the arrow.		Motor vehicles except solo motorcycles prohibited.	
Vehicular traffic must keep to the left/right of the sign indicated by the arrow.		Solo motorcycles prohibited.	
No right turn for vehicular traffic.		Goods vehicles exceeding the maximum gross weight indicated on the goods vehicle symbol prohibited.	
No left turn for vehicular traffic.		One-way traffic.	
No U-turns for vehicular traffic.		Buses prohibited.	
Priority must be given to vehicles from the opposite direction.		Route for use by buses, pedal cycles and taxis only.	

Description	Sign	Description	Sign
No entry for vehicular traffic (when the restriction or prohibition is one that may be indicated by another traffic sign subject to civil enforcement).		Route for use by tramcars only.	
All vehicles prohibited except non-mechanically propelled vehicles being pushed by pedestrians.		Route for use by pedal cycles only.	
Entry to and waiting in a pedestrian zone restricted.		Entry to and waiting in a pedestrian and cycle zone restricted.	
Route for use by pedal cycles and by pedestrians only.		With-flow cycle lane.	
Route comprising two ways, for use by pedal cycles and pedestrians only.		Contra-flow cycle lane.	
Box junction markings.			

Part of the carriageway outside an entrance where vehicles must not stop when the marking is placed in conjunction with the prescribed upright sign which includes the symbol at Schedule 4, Part 3, item 10.

# Status Update since January 2026 Cabinet

Kirklees Council officers are working with West Yorkshire Combined Authority to determine the most appropriate funding stream to support commencement of the trial. These discussions are ongoing. The approved trial will commence after these discussions have concluded and relevant back-office functions and ANPR infrastructure have been established.

Prior to enforcement commencing, members of the public will be informed via an awareness campaign. A 6-month warning period will then be in place without penalties for first-time contraventions. Penalties will be processed in line with Council data protection policies and any surplus revenue will be used in line with government guidance.

The trial will then be evaluated and reviewed prior to the consideration of MTE powers at other locations.

This page is intentionally left blank

**ENVIRONMENT AND CLIMATE CHANGE SCRUTINY PANEL**

**Work programme 2025/2026**

**Members: Cllr Andrew Cooper (Chair), Cllr David Longstaff, Cllr Musarrat Khan, Cllr Will Simpson, Cllr John Taylor, Cllr Matthew McLoughlin, Kevin Evans (Co-Optee), VACANT (Co-Optee)**

FULL PANEL DISCUSSION		
THEME/ISSUE	APPROACH / AREAS OF FOCUS	OUTCOMES / ACTIONS
Simpler Recycling and Split Collections	<p><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 13<sup>th</sup> August 2025</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider an update on Simpler Recycling and Split Collections following changes to Kirklees Council’s collections as per government policy</p>	<p><b>RESOLVED:</b> The Panel noted the report Simpler Recycling and it was recommended that</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Engagement with the third sector and adult social care be held to reach vulnerable groups and support inclusive engagement.</li> <li>2) Inclusive design features, such as labelling on the inside of bin lids, be considered to support residents with dementia.</li> <li>3) Engagement with schools be maintained to ensure alignment between household and school recycling practices.</li> </ol>

	<p>September Meeting Cancelled</p>	
<p>Snow Wardens Scheme Update</p>	<p><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 8<sup>th</sup> October 2025</u>          The Panel will consider an update on the Snow Wardens Scheme.</p>	<p><b>RESOLVED:</b> the Panel noted the presentation, and it was recommended that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Guidance on use of shovel’s be provided to volunteers.</li> <li>2) The service give consideration to the prioritisation of snow wardens in in areas without access to grit bins or network gritting, as a potential means of addressing service coverage gaps.</li> <li>3) The Portfolio Holder provide a follow-up response to the Panel regarding how the Council intended to support residents of new housing developments during challenging winter weather.</li> <li>4) Nick Jenkin, Business development Manager would meet with Councillor Andrew Cooper regarding the promotion of the Snow Warden Scheme in areas of lower take up.</li> <li>5) Helpful feedback demonstrating the value of the scheme be collated and shared with the panel in future years.</li> </ol>

Fly-tipping Update	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 26<sup>th</sup> November 2025</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider an update on Fly-tipping Update.</p>	
Keeping Britain Tidy	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 26<sup>th</sup> November 2025</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider a presentation from Keeping Britain Tidy.</p>	<p><b>RESOLVED:</b> The Panel noted the update and it was recommended that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The final outcomes of the ‘Love Where You Live’ Heckmondwike project be shared with the Panel on completion.</li> <li>2) Contact be made with the LGA ‘Neighbourhoods Policy Committee’ to identify how they may work in partnership with Keeping Britain Tidy to amplify similar messages on a national level.</li> </ol>
Playable Spaces	<p style="text-align: center;"><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 7<sup>th</sup> January 2026</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider an update on Playable Spaces</p>	<p><b>RESOLVED:</b> The Panel noted the update Solutions to fly-tipping, and it was recommended that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) Communications in relation to ‘Bulky Waste’ Collections discounts be refreshed to raise awareness of the offers.</li> <li>2) Will Acornley meet with Councillor Taylor in relation to the member engagement workshops.</li> <li>3) Data showing the number of fly tipping incidents/volume of rubbish in each fly-tip be included and provided to the Panel.</li> <li>4) Engagement with scrutiny continue throughout the development of the strategy and prior to Cabinet approval</li> <li>5) Concerns around sentencing be fed back to the LGA to support raising the voice of local government.</li> </ol>

		<p>6) Keeping Britain's Tidy Fly-Tipping-Action Plan be shared with the Panel.</p> <p>7) A Panel visit to the Enforcement team be arranged via the Governance Officer.</p>
LEVI Update	<p><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 7<sup>th</sup> January 2026</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider the LEVI Update</p>	<p>The Panel noted the Local Electric Vehicle Infrastructure (LEVI) Fund for Public Electric Vehicle Charging Points in Kirklees update, and it was recommended that;</p> <p>RESOLVED:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1) The data set showing the rational for Phase 1 locations be shared with the Panel.</li> <li>2) 2. The details of the contractual information be shared with the Panel.</li> </ol>
Annual Update on Flood Risk	<p><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 11<sup>th</sup> February 2026</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider the Annual Update on Flood Risk</p>	
White Rose Forest (Delivery) and Kirklees Woodland Creation Update	<p><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 11<sup>th</sup> February 2026</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider presentations on White Rose Forest (Delivery) and Kirklees Woodland Creation Update.</p>	
Moving Traffic Offences	<p><u>Meeting of the Panel to be held 17<sup>th</sup> March2026</u></p> <p>The Panel will consider a presentation on Moving Traffic Offences</p>	

Golden Threads:

The Panel will consider and would like to receive information around the following themes throughout its programme of work

- Climate Impact
- Risks and Opportunities
- Partnership work, links to other opportunities and engagement
- Communications; i.e.- how is the council using communications to deliver messaging, raise awareness of issues and provide information

Items /themes not yet scheduled:

- Pollution Update
- Lane Rental Update
- Huddersfield District Heat Network (progress update on the full business case and additional delegations)
- Waste Strategy Update
- Net 0 Update

This page is intentionally left blank