

Holmfirth 60 at Wolfstones Heights Farm: Widths described by consultees who oppose the application to increase the recorded width

Ref	1b "The width of the path the you used"	1c "How wide was the path that you used? - in feet or metres"	1d "Did you use the whole width available on the ground – or did you only use a narrower width, e.g. along one side?"	1e Did the width of the path change over time?"	Other comments regarding width:
█	"Wide enough for me to walk on (three foot or so...)"	"Three foot ... despite part of the path being on a driveway, you walk on the northernmost part, away from the main house, being Wolfstone Heights Farm). Further down, you come off the stile in the wall... and continue up the northernmost side to where the part of the footpath goes up the driveway"	"...I used a width wide enough for me to walk on and yes it was on that northernmost side. "	"The width of the path has always been the same to the best of my knowledge." [detailed description of building materials, scaffolding etc n south side of driveway and quad bikes, trailers , trough eatc on eastern part, preventing use of southern side]	
█			"the width of the path has narrowed to come into terms of a path not a drive!"		
█					[Detailed description of unavailability of southern side of route due to various stored items etc, on driveway and eastern part.]
█	"The width of the path varies, it drops down to circa 450mm at the stile further down the lane, however this is an active driveway and not a path. Now the path has reduced but still has plenty of width to walk comfortably next to each other. "	"Not sure on the width, but would only use a small section of it say 850-1200mm, especially if cars were coming down."			
█					[Detailed description of unavailability of southern side of route due to various stored items etc, on driveway and eastern part.]